

SOCIAL LANGUAGE RATING SCALE Grades K - 5

LANGUAGE / CULTURAL BACKGROUND:

STUDENT: DATE: SCHOOL:

	1	1	1	1	1	,	
TEAM INPUT	0	2	4	6	8		
ADVERSE EFFECT ON	99-120	88-98	77-87	55-76	23-54	22	
EDUCATIONAL							
PERFORMANCE: SOCIAL,	No interference with	Minimal Differences	Mildly affects student's	Moderately Interferes	Seriously Limits	Complex Needs prevent	
EMOTIONAL, ACADEMIC	student's ability to	affect student's ability to	ability to communicate in	with student's ability to	student's ability to	the student's ability to	
	communicate in school	communicate in school	school learning and/or	communicate in school	communicate	communicate	
By at least two members	learning and/or other	learning and/or other	other social situations	learning and/or other	appropriately and	appropriately in school	
of the team & confirmed	social situations	social situations		social situations	respond in school	and/or social situations	
by the SLP					learning and/or social		
					situations		
*Consider student input							
PROFESSIONAL	0	1	2	3	4	5	
JUDGEMENT /	Social language skills are	Social language skills are	Social language skills are	Social language skills are	Social language skills are	Social language skills are	
OBSERVATION	within expected range.	inconsistently limited.	mildly limited.	moderately limited.	seriously limited.	profoundly limited.	
*See related guidance							
document					_	_	
FORMAL ASSESSMENT	0	The student	Z The activide set	The attendant	The student	5	
INFORMAL ASSESSMENT		The student demonstrates a deficit in	The student demonstrates a deficit in	The student demonstrates a deficit in	demonstrates a deficit in	The student demonstrates a deficit	
(i.e. developmental scales, checklists)	Scores are less than 1.0	pragmatic language as	pragmatic language as	pragmatic language as	pragmatic language as		
scales, checklists)	S.D.	measured by at least one	measured by two or	measured by two or	measured by two or	in pragmatic language which prevents	
District / Cobool /		diagnostic	more diagnostic	more diagnostic	more diagnostic	appropriate	
District / School / Program Assessments	Informal assessments	procedure/standardized	procedures/standardized	procedures/standardized	procedures/standardized	communication in	
Frogram Assessments	are within the average	test.	tests.	tests.	tests.	school and/or social	
CBMs	range.	Performance falls 1.0 –	Performance falls 1.0 –	Performance falls 1.5 –	Performance falls	situations.	
CDIVIS		1.5 S.D. below the mean	1.5 S.D. below the mean	2.5 S.D. below the mean	2.5 S.D. below the mean	Augmentative	
		(16 th – 10 th percentile)	(16 th – 10 th percentile)	(9 th – 3 rd percentile)	(below 3 rd percentile)	communication systems	
						may be warranted.	
Consider Tier 2							
TOTAL SCORE	□ 0-5	□ 6-7	□ 8-9	□ 10-13	□ 14-16	□ 17+	
SERVICE DELIVERY	☐ NON-DISABLING	☐ 1= 0-20 mpw	☐ 2=21-30 mpw	☐ 3=31-60 mpw	☐ 4=61-90 mpw	☐ 5=91+ mpw	
UNITS (IEP)		☐ 1=0-80 mpm	☐ 2=84-120 mpm	☐ 3=124-240 mpm	☐ 4=244-360 mpm	□ 5=364+ mpm	
					•	•	